Feedback for AT2: Case Analysis & Making Arguments

Submission Feedback

Overall Feedback

Qn 1: 5/40

Qn 2: 5/40

Qn 3: 2/20

TOTAL 12/100

Please come and see me about this paper. Your answers do not address the questions or demonstrate an application of the knowledge and skills covered in workshops and lectures. I would like to help you to improve your performance in preparation for the take home exam.

Susan Bartie (email for appointment: LAW108.2021@utas.edu.au)

Rubric Name: AT2: Case Analysis

This table lists criteria and criteria group names in the first column. The first row lists level names and includes scores if the rubric uses a numeric scoring method. You can give feedback on each criterion by tabbing to the add feedback buttons in the table.

Question 1

NN

PP

CR

DN

HD

Identification of material facts:

Does not identify the material facts.

Identifies some of the material facts but is either over or under inclusive.

Identifies material facts and some immaterial facts.

Identifies only the material facts with some clarity.

Identifies only the material facts and explains them clearly and precisely.

Statement of ratio

Ratio not in the correct form

Ratio does not accurately represent the court’s key findings on matters of fact and law.

Ratio in the correct form

Ratio represents some aspects of the court’s key findings on matters of fact and law

Ratio not well balanced between narrow and broad

Ratio in the correct form

Ratio represents most aspects of the court's key findings on matters of fact and law

Ratio is generally well expressed

Ratio well balanced between narrow and broad

Ratio in the correct form

Ratio represents all aspects of the court's key findings on matters of fact and law

Ratio is well expressed

Ratio well balanced between narrow and broad

Ratio in the correct form

Ratio represents all aspects of the court's key findings on matters of fact and law.

Ratio is clearly and concisely expressed. Expression shows sophisticated understanding of case and concept of ratio.

Ratio well balanced between narrow and broad

General structure and expression:

Difficult or impossible to understand through poor expression or structure.

Adequate expression and structure.

Competent expression and structure.

Good expression and structure.

Very good expression and structure.

This table lists criteria and criteria group names in the first column. The first row lists level names and includes scores if the rubric uses a numeric scoring method. You can give feedback on each criterion by tabbing to the add feedback buttons in the table.

Question 2

NN

PP

CR

DN

HD

Identification of legal issues:

Does not identify the main legal issues in the problem.

Identifies the basic legal issues in the problem to an adequate standard.

Provides an explanation of most legal issues in the problem and raises some material facts and relevant law.

Provides a good explanation of all legal issues in the problem by clearly explaining how the material facts raise an issue of law.

Provides an excellent explanation of all legal issues in the problem by clearly explaining how the material facts raise an issue of law.

Statement of legal principle:

Demonstrates significant or fundamental errors in setting out the legal principles relevant to each legal issue.

Summarises the relevant law for each legal issue citing relevant authorities and demonstrates a basic understanding of the law.

Summarises the relevant law for each legal issue citing relevant authorities and demonstrates a competent understanding of the law (without significant error)

Summarises the relevant law for each legal issue citing relevant authorities and demonstrates a good understanding of the law.

Summarises the relevant law for each legal issue citing relevant authorities and demonstrates a very good understanding of the law.

Arguments Application of relevant legal principles to the facts

Arguments do not apply relevant law or are implausible based on the law.

Arguments not based on contentious issue.

Arguments display a basic ability to apply relevant legal principles to the facts.

Arguments based on a contentious issue.

Arguments correctly apply relevant legal principles to the facts and demonstrate a measure of persuasiveness.

Arguments based on the central contentious issue(s).

Arguments correctly apply relevant legal principles to the facts.

Arguments demonstrate a persuasive approach that suggests a strong understanding of the law.

Arguments based on the central contentious issue(s).

Arguments correctly apply relevant legal principles to the facts

Arguments demonstrate a highly persuasive approach and an extremely good understanding of the law.

Arguments based on the central contentious issue(s).

General structure and expression:

Difficult or impossible to understand through poor expression or structure.

Adequate expression and structure.

Competent expression and structure.

Good expression and structure.

Very good expression and structure.

This table lists criteria and criteria group names in the first column. The first row lists level names and includes scores if the rubric uses a numeric scoring method. You can give feedback on each criterion by tabbing to the add feedback buttons in the table.

Question 3

NN

PP

CR

DN

HD

Identification of evaluation methods

Does not apply knowledge from unit to explain possible modes of evaluation.

Applies knowledge from the unit to explain possible modes of evaluation.

Applies knowledge from the unit to explain possible modes of evaluation.

Demonstrates good understanding of that knowledge and some independent thought.

Applies knowledge from the unit to explain possible modes of evaluation.

Demonstrates very good understanding of that knowledge and some independent thought.

Applies knowledge from the unit to explain possible modes of evaluation.

Demonstrates excellent understanding of that knowledge and independent thought.

General structure and expression:

Difficult or impossible to understand through poor expression or structure.

Adequate expression and structure.

Competent expression and structure.

Good expression and structure.

Very good expression and structure.

Overall Score

Overall Score

NN

PP

CR

DN

HD

Score

12 / 100 - NN

Feedback Date

30 April, 2021 08:53

Assignment

AT2: Case Analysis & Making Arguments

Submission ID	Submission(s)	Turnitin® Similarity	Date Submitted
4086	LAW108 - Legal reasoning and	43% Si	10 April,

725	techenological cha... (61.82 KB)	**milar** 43%	2021 11:58